

Minutes of the Shire of Tammin Ordinary Council meeting held at Council Chambers, 1 Donnan Street, Tammin, on Thursday, 16 April 2009.

**1. DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS**

Cr R Stokes declared the meeting open at 2.00pm.

**2. RECORD OF ATTENDANCE / APOLOGIES / LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

**Present**

Cr R.J. Stokes	President (Presiding Person)
Cr K.L. Caffell	Deputy President
Cr M.D. Greenwood	Member
Cr S.J. Jefferies JP	Member
Cr B.F. Stokes	Member
Cr M.K. Wheeldon	Member

Mr M.G. Oliver	Chief Executive Officer
----------------	-------------------------

**Visitors**

Nil

**Apologies**

Nil

**Leave of Absence**

Nil

**3. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**

Nil

**4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

Nil

**5. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

Nil

**6. DECLARATION OF MEMBER'S INTERESTS IN AGENDA ITEMS**

**6.1 Item 11.2 – Tammin Primary School – Financial Support (ADM-15)**

Crs R Stokes, B Stokes and S Jefferies declared a financial interest in Item 11.2.

**6.2 Item 11.8 – Tammin CWA 70th Anniversary Celebration (ADM-00)**

Cr M Wheeldon declared a financial interest in Item 11.8.

**7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

**7.1 Ordinary Council Meeting Minutes – 19 March 2009**

**STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Section 5.22(2) of the Local Government Act provides that minutes of all meeting to be kept and submitted to the next full Council meeting for confirmation.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting held on 19 March 2009 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

Simple Majority Required

## **MIN 39/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Caffell 2nd Cr Jefferies**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

### **8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING PERSON WITHOUT DISCUSSION**

Nil

### **9. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/SUBMISSIONS**

Nil

### **10. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES/COUNCILLORS**

#### **10.1 Granite Way Committee (ORG-16)**

Staff meeting held on 24 March 2009 in Quairading and attended by the CEO.

The meeting was able to progress a number of issues and heard from the National Trust regarding an application for Federal funding (\$60,000-\$70,000) for dreaming trails and received an update from CAN WA regarding it's project to gather aboriginal stories.

#### **10.2 WE-ROC Executive Meeting (ORG-15)**

Meeting held in Westonia on 25 March 2009 and attended by the CEO.

Issues discussed relevant to Tammin included:

- Asset management progressed with a proposal to seek semi retired person (Bruce Rock) to prepare Asset Management Plans for all WE-ROC Councils and to seek funding from the Department of Local Government and Regional Development for funding from the Country Local Government Fund.
- SSS Sustainability Checklist action 11 and 22 being progressed.
- Structural Reform guidelines checklist sharing for consistency
- Royalties for Regions allocation of regional projects process
- Publicity of Royalties for Regions projects and future of royalties process
- Regional Waste Management Strategy draft Report.

Also at the meeting, Cathy Bullen, the Regional Police Superintendent for the Wheatbelt Region addressed meeting on the following issues:

- Crime statistics are tracking well.
- Anti social behaviour is an issue.
- Police keen to be informed of activities functions funerals etc to ensure sufficient staffing rostering.
- Traffic enforcement is an issue – with fatalities per head of population very high (inattention and failure to wear seatbelts on local roads).

Housing of Police in Tammin was again raised – however the issue is effectively vetoed by Occupational Health Safety and Welfare in relation to single officers. Also, regardless of the provision of housing, Officers are not obliged to live in the provided accommodation.

The next Executive meeting is to be held in Southern Cross on 20 May 2009 and the next Council meeting is to be held in Bruce Rock on 28 April 2009.

### **10.3 SEAVROC Executive Meeting (ORG-21)**

Meeting held in York on 25 March 2009 and attended by the CEO.

Issues discussed relevant to Tammin included:

- Workshop on Structural Reform Agenda paper for 2 April 2009.
- Structural Reform checklist.
- Legislative compliance provisions requiring exemption for the formalisation of the VROC.
- Local Government Systems (LGS) accounting solution.
- Aligning audits, financial reviews, local laws, planning schemes.

### **10.4 GECZ Meeting (ORG-02)**

Meeting held in Tammin on 26 March 2009 and attended by Crs R Stokes, B Stokes, Caffell and Wheeldon together with the CEO.

Issues discussed relevant to Tammin included:

- Structural Reform.
- Skeleton Weed.

The next meetings of the Zone are:

- Teleconference 21 May 2009.
- Local Government Week – August 2009.
- Shire of Kondinin – 24 September 2009.

### **10.5 Reform Project Team Meeting (PROP-00)**

Minutes of the meeting held on 30 March 2009 have been provided to Councillors.

Subsequent to this meeting, the guidelines associated with the Minister's announcement of \$10,000 per local government to assist with structural reform have been released. The Project Team expressed an interest in the Shire of Tammin applying for these funds noting that it was likely that the funds would need to be acquitted.

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Reform Project Team meeting held on 30 March 2009 be received.  
Simple Majority Required

#### **MIN 40/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Jefferies 2nd Cr Caffell**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

The CEO tabled the amended Reform Checklist as developed with Mr D Carboni.

#### **MIN 41/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Wheeldon 2nd Cr B Stokes**

*That the Reform Checklist be adopted and submitted to the Local Government Reform Steering Committee.*

CARRIED 6/0

### **10.6 LEMAC Meeting (ORGE-05)**

Meeting held in Kellerberrin on 30 March 2009 and attended by the CEO together with Mr Haydn Dixon, Chief Bushfire Control Officer.

Issues discussed relevant to Tammin included:

- Emergency response drills
- Amalgamation of Local Governments for Emergency Management.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That Council formally resolve to seek written approval from SEMAC for the Shire of Kellerberrin and Shire of Tammin to operate as a joint LEMAC.

Simple Majority Required

## **MIN 42/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Wheeldon 2nd Cr Caffell**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

## **10.7 SEAVROC – Workshop On Structural Reform (ORG-21)**

Meeting held in York on 2 April 2009 and attended by Cr R Stokes and the CEO.

Clarification was made that a decision on Tammin's request for membership in SEAVROC (see Agenda Item 11.1) will be further addressed by SEAVROC at its meeting in May prior to the member Councils being requested to resolve their position.

Overall, all members supported SEAVROC and what it is endeavouring to achieve.

Cunderdin was keen to use the opportunity to push on from the current direction of formalising the ROC under existing legislation to the South Australian model / Parish Council model.

Quairading strongly opposed to amalgamation but recognised and supporter of Tammin's inclusion.

Beverley opposed to amalgamation with SEAVROC Councils. Currently going through the public consultation process. No direction set.

Tammin is anti amalgamation and keen to participate in SEAVROC's direction including the South Australian subsidiary model. Council is keen to protect Tammin's identity, member representation but willing to consider other issues.

Brookton is a strong supporter of SEAVROC but if amalgamation is forced, a different direction (Brookton Pingelly or Brookton Pingelly Wandering) is likely.

York is generally a supporter of the South Australian subsidiary model but the President would personally prefer the NSW New England alliance. Further, that the South Australian subsidiary model is not exactly the direction that SEAVROC is headed. Regardless, the Minister needs to legislate SEAVROC's position as opposed to a Ministerial exemption (too volatile).

If amalgamations are forced, three broad proposals were discussed by SEAVROC:

- All SEAVROC Councils
- Cunderdin/Quairading/Tammin; York/Beverley/Brookton
- Totally different groupings (e.g. York/Mundaring; Brookton/Armadale etc.)

Regardless, the natural progression is likely that a formalised SEAVROC will likely, over time, become the amalgamated local government.

Aggressively, progress subsidiary model utilising structural reform \$10,000 funding and engage Neil Douglas to prepare documentation.

Deputation to Local Government Reform Sub-committee looking at community representation.

Coordinate Reform submission.

### **Comment**

Regardless where the existing amalgamation phase heads, being substantially based on existing boundaries it is likely that boundary adjustments of any amalgamated local government will be the resultant phase.

### **10.8 Kellerberrin Sub-Group RRG (ENG-43)**

Meeting held in Tammin on 3 April 2009 and attended by Cr R Stokes and the CEO.

Issues discussed relevant to Tammin included:

- Endorsement of the 2009/10 submissions (York Tammin (Goldfields) Rd and Tammin Wyalkatchem Rd seal/reseal.
- Progression of the Sub-Group's 5 year roadworks plan.

Next meeting is planned for 11 September 2009 at Kellerberrin.

### **10.9 TALEC Achievements Celebration (EDU-04)**

Held in the Tammin Lesser Hall on 4 April 2009 and attended by Crs R Stokes, L Caffell and M Wheeldon.

Arising at those celebrations was a question from Alcoa as to Council's intentions regarding the location of the concrete signage at both the Barracks and Memorial Park. Alcoa felt that the signage should be removed and was keen to relocate the signage to a display being proposed in Pinjarra.



### **Comment**

With the closure of TALEC and the finalisation of the relationship with Alcoa, the signage is no longer appropriate.

If removed from Memorial Park, a gap would need to be filled in the fence.



### **Staff Recommendation**

That Council indicate it's preference regarding the future of the signage.

Simple Majority Required

### **MIN 43/09 MOTION – Moved Cr 2nd Cr**

*That Alcoa be advised that the concrete signs in Memorial Park are to remain however they are invited to relocate the concrete signage at the Barracks to Pinjarra.*

CARRIED 6/0

### **10.10 Shire of Kellerberrin Structural Reform Discussions (PROP-00)**

Meeting held in Tammin on 8 April 2009 and attended by Cr R Stokes and the CEO.

Discussions centred on structural reform, amalgamation issues and regional cooperation.

The consensus of the meeting was to develop a memorandum of understanding over the next 12 months on areas that there may be sharing opportunities.

## **11. AGENDA ITEMS**

## **11.1 SEA-VROC (ORGE-21)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 24 March 2009 Interest – Nil

### **PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Item 11.3 – 19 February 2009 refers.

### **BACKGROUND**

Council resolved in February 2009 that the Shire of Tammin formally apply to join SEA-VROC and that the Shire of Tammin remain in WE-ROC at least to the end of this financial year

SEA-VROC has responded advising that the Executive has resolved:

- (a) That SEA-VROC Member Local Governments be informed of the Shire of Tammin's request for Membership of SEA-VROC.
- (b) The Executive Officer investigate the impact on funding under the Country Local Government Fund, on the basis that the Shire of Tammin is currently aligned with the Wheatbelt East Regional Council.
- (c) A further Report be presented to the Executive Committee of SEA-VROC.
- (d) That the Shire of Tammin continue to attend SEA-VROC meetings on an observation basis.

To allow additional information to be put to the Executive (to next meet on 7 May 2009) in relation to the request SEA-VROC has sought:

1. Council statistics for 2007/2008 including Financial Report and Annual Budget.
2. Reasons for seeking membership of SEA-VROC.
3. Confirmation that the Shire of Tammin is willing to contribute to SEA-VROC a sum equal to the current years contribution schedule amount of \$20,000 or a discretionary sum agreed between the parties.
4. The benefits or otherwise the Shire of Tammin will bring to SEA-VROC.

### **COMMENT**

The Shire of Tammin's 2007/08 Annual Report and 2008/09 Budget have been provided to SEA-VROC to address the first request.

It is understood that the SEA-VROC Charter provides that:

- 5.1 The member local governments of the Association shall comprise the Shire of Beverley, Shire of Brookton, Shire of Cunderdin, Shire of Quairading and the Shire of York.
- 5.2 Any other local government may apply in writing to the Executive Officer setting out the reasons why the local government wishes to become a member local government of the Association.
- 5.3 The Executive Officer shall forward the application to every member local government not less than four weeks prior to the meeting of the Executive Committee at which it is to be considered.
- 5.4 A local government is recommended to become a member local government if an absolute majority of the member local governments agree in writing.
- 5.5 The Association shall then put its recommendation for the local government to become a member local government to the Minister for Local Government in accordance with the Act.
- 5.6 On the granting of the approval of the Minister the Local Government shall become a member local government.

In relation to point 4, these points could include:

- Tammin's desire to promote the SEA-VROC South Australian / Parish Council model as an alternative to amalgamation,
- demonstration of attractiveness of SEA-VROC (kudos).
- economies of scale for shared services (health, building, planning, ranger)

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Up to \$20,000 is sought for the current year. No provision was made in the 2008/09 Budget for this expenditure.

## **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

## **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That Council:

1. provide details of the reasons for seeking membership of SEA-VROC.
2. provide confirmation that the Shire of Tammin is willing to contribute to SEA-VROC a sum equal to the current years contribution schedule amount of \$20,000 or a discretionary sum agreed between the parties.
3. provide the benefits or otherwise the Shire of Tammin will bring to SEA-VROC.

Absolute Majority Required

## **MIN 44/09 MOTION – Moved Cr B Stokes 2nd Cr Jefferies**

*That SEA-VROC be advised that:*

1. *the Shire of Tammin seeks membership because:*
  - *SEA-VROC is regarded as progressive;*
  - *SEA-VROC is seen as a positive way forward;*
  - *SEA-VROC offers an equal seat for each local government member;*
  - *the South Australian subsidiary model best allows the retention of local identity;*
  - *SEA-VROC's desire to succeed and to choose it's own future.*
2. *the Shire of Tammin is willing to contribute to SEA-VROC a sum equal to the current years contribution schedule amount of \$20,000 or a discretionary sum agreed between the parties.*
3. *the Shire of Tammin will bring to SEAVROC:*
  - *the desire to choose it's own future;*
  - *the desire to promote the South Australian subsidiary model as an alternative to amalgamation;*
  - *membership dollars to share and reduce the cost of the reform;*
  - *economies of scale for proposed SEA-VROC activities (health, building, ranger services);*
  - *another local government that is geographically close to most member Councils;*
  - *the same passion for reform as other member Councils;*
  - *the intention to bring to the table the Shire of Tammin's regional component of the Royalties for Regional funds;*
  - *a positive attitude and willingness to embrace change.*

CARRIED BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY 6/0

Crs R Stokes, B Stokes and S Jefferies declared a financial interest in Item 11.2 and left the Chamber at 3.10 pm.

Cr Caffell assumed the Chair.

## **11.2 Tammin Primary School – Financial Support (ADM-15)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 24 March 2009 Interest – Nil

### **PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Item 11.1 – 19 March 2009 refers.

### **BACKGROUND**

Correspondence seeking financial assistance to hire a bus to transport the children to swimming lessons.

The request is in addition for the use of the community bus and follows on from the TALEC bus no longer being available.

Whilst a specific amount has not been sought, it is implied that a cost of \$830 is involved for bus hire.

### **COMMENT**

This is a 2009/10 Budget issue and needs to be considered with other Budget submissions.

The issue brings back into focus Council's previous decision to look after the School oval at no charge and the understanding that further funding requests would not be made.

It also raises the question of direct cost shifting by the State Government onto Local Government.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

2009-10 Budget.

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Section 5.19 of the Local Government Act provides that the quorum for a meeting of a council or committee is at least 50% of the number of offices (whether vacant or not) of member of the council or the committee.

### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the request for funding from the 2009/10 Budget lay on the table until considered by Council.

Simple Majority Required

### **MIN 45/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Wheeldon, seconded Cr Greenwood**

*That on the assumption that Council again calls for community grants (Item 11.7), the Tammin Primary School be requested to submit the application in the appropriate manner for consideration with other community requests.*

CARRIED 3/0

Crs R Stokes, B Stokes and S Jefferies entered the Chamber at 3.14 pm and Cr R Stokes assumed the Chair.

### **11.3 Barracks Lease (ASS-1545)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 29 March 2009 Interest – Nil

#### **PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Information Bulletin Item 20 – 20 November 2008, Items 11.4 – 18 December 2008, 11.2 – 19 February 2009 and 4.1 – 19 March 2009 refer.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The lease of the old TALEC property was advertised in the West Australian (Saturday 6 September 2008) and the Weekend Australian on the advice of MarketForce following no interest being shown by business opportunity real estate agents. Copies of the advertisement together with the information package was also sent to 6 parties.

The advertisements resulted in one contact from a contractor accommodation supplier – who was to inspect the property but withdrew their interest at the eleventh hour.

The Lease was re-advertised closing 10 December 2008. Two expressions were received and a third party made contact but did not submit a proposal.

Council resolved on 18 December 2008 that those parties who have indicated an interest in leasing the facility be advised that a formal proposal, detailing return proposed to the Shire of Tammin and responsibility for the Centre's outgoings, is required by 11 February 2009 for Council's consideration.

Both previous *Expressions* were withdrawn and a new *Expression of Interest* was received from Moringa P/L.

At the February 2009 meeting, Council considered the *Expression of Interest* from Moringa P/L and resolved to that they be invited to address the March 2009 meeting. Following that meeting, the CEO met with Moringa P/L and provided an inspection of the Barracks buildings.

At the March 2009 Daniel and Annette May addressed Council on their *Expression of Interest*.

#### **COMMENT**

The *Expression of Interest* from Moringa P/L does not appear to offer the combined financial and other returns to Tammin that has been discussed by Councillors.

Brad Thompson, Natural Resource Management Officer from the Shire of Kellerberrin indicated that he is very interested in this plant, noting that it is very useful as a bio-diesel producer. DAFWA have done trials and note that it has little weed potential. If they can get permission from DAFWA, it will be a great asset to the Wheatbelt. It grows in low rainfall and all parts of it have medicinal or food based applications. Located in tropical regions such as Sri Lanka and India, it is known as the Drumstick tree. I'm actually growing some at home at the moment.

Further direction is required from Council as to how this issue should be progressed.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Significant, depending on Council's direction.

Approximately \$4,000 has been expended to date advertising the lease – from the 2008/09 Budget provision (Account E082070) of \$3,000.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act provides:

- (1) In this section —  
“dispose” includes to sell, lease, or otherwise dispose of, whether absolutely or not;  
“property” includes the whole or any part of the interest of a local government in property, but does not include money.
- (2) Except as stated in this section, a local government can only dispose of property to —
  - (a) the highest bidder at public auction; or
  - (b) the person who at public tender called by the local government makes what is, in the opinion of the local government, the most acceptable tender, whether or not it is the highest tender.
- (3) A local government can dispose of property other than under subsection (2) if, before agreeing to dispose of the property —
  - (a) it gives local public notice of the proposed disposition —
    - (i) describing the property concerned;
    - (ii) giving details of the proposed disposition; and
    - (iii) inviting submissions to be made to the local government before a date to be specified in the notice, being a date not less than 2 weeks after the notice is first given;
  - and
  - (b) it considers any submissions made to it before the date specified in the notice and, if its decision is made by the council or a committee, the decision and the reasons for it are recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which the decision was made.
- (4) The details of a proposed disposition that are required by subsection (3)(a)(ii) include —
  - (a) the names of all other parties concerned;
  - (b) the consideration to be received by the local government for the disposition; and
  - (c) the market value of the disposition as ascertained by a valuation carried out not more than 6 months before the proposed disposition.
- (5) This section does not apply to —
  - (a) a disposition of land under section 29 or 29B of the Public Works Act 1902;
  - (b) a disposition of property in the course of carrying on a trading undertaking as defined in section 3.59;
  - (c) anything that the local government provides to a particular person, for a fee or otherwise, in the performance of a function that it has under any written law; or
  - (d) any other disposition that is excluded by regulations from the application of this section.

## **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That Council provide direction in relation to the *Expression of Interest* received. Further, that if it is not to be progressed, then the caretakers accommodation be rented out and the complex be re-advertised.

Simple Majority Required

## **MIN 46/09 MOTION – Moved Cr R Stokes 2nd Cr Caffell**

*That:*

- *Messrs D & A May be advised that their Expression of Interest is not accepted;*
- *Expressions of Interest be again invited through advertisement (including the WEB);*  
*and*
- *the Barrack’s caretaker accommodation be rented for 6 months with an ongoing monthly tenancy (subject to a tenant for the Barracks being found).*

CARRIED 5/1

## **11.4 Hunts Well (RES-27515)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 29 March 2009 Interest – Nil

### **PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Items – 11.1.7 – 13 April 2005, Item 12.3.2 – 20 April 2006, Information Bulletin Item 1 – 21 September 2006 and Item 11.14 – 19 February 2009 refer.

### **BACKGROUND**

Council was advised in April 2005 that the bore at Hunts Well had been installed and was operating at an estimated 2,500 to 3,000 gallons per day. Plans were in place to pump the water to Donnan Park for watering the oval.

In April 2006 Council was advised that a funding application to Minister for the Environment and Heritage to pump water from the Hunts Well bore to Donnan Park for oval reticulation had been successful (part of Community Water Grant Round 1 – \$28,550).

In September 2006 Council was advised that water had been connected from the Hunts Well bore to Donnan Park. However, when the supply was connected to the new storage tank (in 2008) the water flow was insufficient to reach the top of the tank inlet.

Subsequently, investigations at the bore revealed an insufficient flow for Donnan Park. Enquiries have revealed mixed opinions regarding a solution.

In February 2009 Council resolved That the bore be pressure blasted in an endeavour to unblock it.

An inspection of the bore by Smith Drilling confirmed the location and depth and quality of water before locating, testing and being advised of depth.

They indicated that there quantity of water was minimal.

This was confirmed by pumping (straight onto the ground adjacent to the bore) until it stopped delivering. It was watched, steadily decreasing for 15 minutes. It was reconnected to the tip and left pumping whilst divining was undertaken around the town hall (maybe half an hour). On return, the pump was not delivering any water.

### **COMMENT**

The bore provides a water service for the refuse site.

If the bore is blocked at it's base, a pressure blast may remove the blockage but at the risk of collapsing the bore. If the bore was collapsed, another would need to be sunk to provide for the refuse disposal site.

If the bore is made successful, it is yet to be proven that there is sufficient water available and that if it was pumped in quantities, whether the quality would survive.

However, if the bore could be made productive, it would compliment the dam water.

As it sits at the moment, the delivery pipe is still in its temporary state – lying on top of the ground exposed.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

## **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the proposal to pump water from Hunts Well bore to the town dam be abandoned and that the delivery pipe previously laid be picked up.

Simple Majority Required

## **MIN 47/09 MOTION – Moved Cr B Stokes 2nd Cr Jefferies**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

### **11.5 Town Hall – Ground Water (ASS-1063)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 29 March 2009 Interest – Nil

#### **BACKGROUND**

Whilst discussing Hunts Well bore with the Smith Drilling, the opportunity was taken to divine around the Hall. This exercise located several streams on the east side of the Lesser Hall flowing under the Hall, two streams from the south side (Telstra box and Hall front door) flowing under the Hall and several streams joining up under the Office covered carpark continuing out into the hot-mixed carpark and stopping.

The streams on the east side varied from 4m to 10m deep and were described as *good water and flow*.

Smith Drilling indicated that the best spot to tap the water was under the covered carpark – perhaps by way of an angled bore. Alternatively, they could be drilled on the east side of the Lesser Hall and inter-connected.

#### **COMMENT**

It would seem that the water table under the Hall could be lowered by pumping from these streams (into Kadjininy Kep), monitoring the water level under the Hall floor.

The risk associated with lowering the water table is the drying out of the brickwork in the hall, resulting in mortar shrinkage and structural failure. This risk could be minimised by a controlled process of lowering the table – by not pumping the system dry but by lowering the level in stages.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

2009/10 Budget.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

#### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That provision be included in the draft 2009/10 Budget to drill bores on the east side of the town hall, interconnect the bores and install a pumping system that can be level controlled. Further, on the assumption that the waste water quality is adequate, provision be included for it to be piped into Kadjiny Kep.

Simple Majority Required

## MIN 48/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Wheeldon 2nd Cr Caffell

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

### 11.6 Asset Register - TALEC (EDU-04)

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 30 March 2009 Interest – Nil

#### BACKGROUND

With the closure of TALEC and the dissolving of the landcare equipment, the following Landcare items in the Asset Register need to be written off:

Description	Serial No	Purchase Date	Gross Value	Accum Depn
Science trays		2-Oct-90	\$90.00	\$90.00
Thermometers		2-Oct-90	\$200.00	\$200.00
Saline testers (2) + pH tester		23-Nov-90	\$80.00	\$80.00
Sontec portable microscope		23-Nov-90	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00
Education tools (magnifiers, calculators, books, clipboards)		23-Nov-90	\$450.00	\$450.00
Large pinup boards		5-Jul-91	\$1,100.00	\$1,100.00
Whiteboards		1989/90	\$310.00	\$310.00
Stencil markers		1989/90	\$80.00	\$80.00
Esky drink bottles		1989/90	\$440.00	\$440.00
Pinup boards		1989/90	\$400.00	\$400.00
Kitchen equipment		1989/90	\$190.00	\$190.00
Volleyball set		1989/90	\$135.00	\$135.00
Garden irrigation equipment			\$190.00	\$190.00

And the following items in the Asset Register need to be transferred from Landcare to Furniture & Equipment section of the Asset Register.

Description	Serial No	Purchase Date	Gross Value	Accum Depn
Carpet Education Centre		2-Oct-90	\$3,200.00	3,200.00
Panasonic TC-63R50 Television	PAOH0201464		\$2,200.00	2,200.00
Pioneer DV-373 DVD	DHTE 010746			
Panasonic NV-JIA Video Recorder	IOKL06418 / GOKL 05733			
2 x Chef Society 6 burner and gas oven stoves			\$7,220.00	\$7,220.00
Fire alarm system			\$14,900.00	\$14,900.00
Simpson 210 Tucker Box freezer (kitchen)	CL030032	31-Oct-91	\$390.00	\$390.00
Westinghouse RP423G 416L fridge (kitchen)	AL043579	1989/90	\$1,940.00	\$1,940.00
Westinghouse RP423G 416L fridge (kitchen)				
Blankets & pillows		1989/90	\$1,880.00	\$1,880.00
Kitchen & dining room equipment		1989/90	\$1,240.00	\$1,240.00
21 double bunk beds, tables etc		1989/90	\$12,171.00	\$12,171.00
Curtains and blinds			\$3,406.00	\$3,406.00
Fidgidaire 210 Tucker Box freezer			\$413.00	\$413.00
Amphitheatre			\$1,963.00	\$1,963.00
Firetech fire equipment			\$2,513.00	\$2,513.00
Floor coverings			\$2,910.00	\$2,910.00
GME UHF radio and GME transformer			\$561.00	\$561.00
Simpson EziSet 800 8kg washing machine		15-Apr-05	\$670.00	\$670.00
Panasonic microwave	S87GP		\$280.00	\$280.00
Phillips electric Frypan			\$92.00	\$92.00
Kenwood Gourmet food processor			\$166.00	\$166.00
Griller stand		1989/90	\$248.00	\$248.00
Star Equipment 2 burner gas hotplate				
2 x Aussie Backyard 4 burner BBQ & gas bottles				
Canon EB10 Fax	H12206 / RKT 04127			
Epson CX6500 Printer	C57804 000 ND0480 5499 / F6RE005939			
AudioLine 809 N13 Answering Machine	PC1909K02636			
Fisher & Paykel single door fridge (Office)	GSQ733080 / 883 254			
Crown Electric 50L Urn	ACN010751747			
Kelvinator N300 2 door fridge/freezer				
Office desk 2 draw				
Metalux 4 draw file cabinet				
Precision 2 draw file cabinet				
Bug zapper				

GEC Extractor fan (House)  
Chef Royal 4 burner gas hotplate/oven stove  
Kleenheat Gas 600 Bosch instant HWS

### **COMMENT**

All items have been fully depreciated.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the items listed be written out of the Asset Register and the other items listed transferred to Furniture and Equipment section of the Asset Register.

Simple Majority Required

### **MIN 49/09 MOTION – Moved Cr B Stokes 2nd Cr Greenwood**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

## **11.7 2009/10 Community Grants (FIN-04)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 1 April 2009 Interest – Nil

### **PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Items 11.6 – 15 May 2008 and 11.1 – 16 July 2008 refer.

### **BACKGROUND**

In recent previous years Council has resolved to advertise for budget submissions from sporting, community or welfare groups/organisations requiring financial assistance from the Shire of Tammin in the following year. Applications were to include audited financial statements and most recent Bank Statement.

In July 2008 Council resolved to include provision in the draft 2008/09 Budget for community grants to:

- Tammin Primary School – swimming lessons \$2,000
- Tammin Bowling Club – to subsidize employment of a greenkeeper \$20,000 Inc
- Tammin Economy Shop (Cooina) – for wages for the co-ordinator and assistant \$5,000
- Tammin Seniors – purchase of indoor bowls \$500
- CWA Tammin – 2008 WA Week Luncheon \$350
- CWA Tammin – 70th birthday celebrations – April 2009

together with donations/contributions to:

- Royal Flying Doctor Service \$200
- Eastern Districts Display Committee, Royal Show \$350
- Lord Mayors Disaster Appeal \$500
- Significant local achievements program \$500

- Merredin Visitors Centre \$500
- Wheatbelt Agcare \$990 Inc

With respect to the Tammin CWA's 70th birthday celebrations, Council was to receive a submission in April 2009 from the Association.

#### **COMMENT**

In 2006/7 and 2007/8 Council promoted the community cropping lease for the benefit of:

- Tammin Senior Citizens
- Tammin Play Group
- Tammin P & C
- Tammin Golf Club
- Tammin Bowling Club
- Tammin Cricket Club
- Tammin Hockey Club
- Kellerberrin Tammin Football Club
- CWA Tammin

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

For consideration with the draft 2009/10 Budget.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That community groups be invited to make submissions for financial support for consideration with the draft 2009/10 Budget.

Simple Majority Required

#### **MIN 50/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Caffell 2nd Cr Greenwood**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

Cr Wheeldon declared a financial interest and left the Chamber at 3.52 pm.

#### **11.8 Tammin CWA 70th Anniversary Celebration (ADM-00)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 1 April 2009 Interest – Nil

#### **PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Item 11.7 – 21 August 2009 refers.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Seeking financial assistance of \$200 for it's 70th Anniversary celebrations on 23 April 2009.

#### **COMMENT**

Council resolved in August 2009 that no provision be included in the draft 2008/09 Budget for the Tammin CWA's 70th birthday celebrations in April 2009 – on the basis that a specific request was not made and on the understanding that it would be considered in 2009 when further detail was known.

CWA plays an active role in providing community service for country women, children, families and the community. Apart from conducting their own affairs, the Tammin CWA always provides great assistance in promoting community services and events in Tammin – most recently the Slim Connor’s concert, 80’s Tribute Band, and photograph workshops during last year.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

No provision was made in the 2008/09 Budget.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

**FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That a contribution of \$200 be made to the Tammin CWA towards its 70th Anniversary celebrations.

Absolute Majority Required

**MIN 51/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Jefferies 2nd Cr Caffell**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY 5/0

Cr Wheeldon entered the Chamber at 3.55 pm.

**11.9 Strategic Plan (ADM-51)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 2 April 2009 Interest – Nil

**PREVIOUS REFERENCE**

Item 11.1 – 18 December 2008 refers.

**BACKGROUND**

In December 2009 Council resolved that Councillors meet on 19 February 2009 to commence the strategic planning process. Following those discussions, Councillors met again on 19 March 2009.

The outcome of both those discussions resulted in a draft 2009-2014 Strategic Plan.

**COMMENT**

It would be appropriate for Council to review the draft 2009-2014 Strategic Plan, particularly in relation to the different yearly projects, before inviting comment from the community.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

## **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That Council review the draft 2009-2014 Strategic Plan, particularly in relation to the different yearly projects, before inviting comment from the community.

Simple Majority Required

## **MIN 52/09 MOTION – Moved Cr 2nd Cr**

*That the modified draft 2009-2014 Strategic Plan be advised for public comment.*

CARRIED 6/0

## **11.10 Main Roads WA – Class 2/3 Restricted Access Vehicles Network (ENG-22)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 5 April 2009 Interest – Nil

### **BACKGROUND**

Advising that it is in the process of rolling out the latest Class 2/3 Restricted Access Vehicles (RAV) network for publication and seeking the Shire of Tammin's critical analysis on the justification for the existence of each of the existing routes.

### **COMMENT**

The WA road system has been divided into 10 heavy vehicle road networks. Network 1 is the largest and covers all roads statewide with a list of exceptions. Networks 2 to 10 progressively become more and more restricted. Network 10 is the smallest and covers road train combinations between 36.5 m and 53.5 m in length.

### **RAV Category 1**

- Class 2 road train to 20 m and 50 t
- Class 2 articulated vehicle to 19 m, 4.6 m high and 42.5 t (carrying livestock or vehicles)
- Class 2 truck towing a trailer to 20 m, 4.6 m high and 42.5 t (carrying vehicles)
- Class 2 truck to 12.5 m and 4.6 m high (carrying livestock or vehicles)
- Class 3 prime mover towing semi trailer to 19 m and 47.5 t
- Class 3 truck towing pig trailer to 20 m and 45.5 t
- Class 3 truck towing dog trailer to 20 m and 50 t

### **RAV Category 2**

- Class 2 road train to 27.5m and 65.5 t
- Class 2 B-double to 25m and 67.5 t
- Class 2 truck towing a trailer to 25m, 4.6 m high and 42.5 t (carrying vehicles)
- Class 3 prime mover towing over size semi trailer to 20 m
- Class 3 truck and dog trailer (2-5 axles) to 25m and 64 t
- Class 3 truck and dog trailer (6 axles) to 25m and 67.5 t
- Class 3 B-double to 27.5m and 67.5 t

### **RAV Category 3**

- Class 2 road train to 27.5m and 84 t
- Class 3 road train to 27.5m and 84 t

### **RAV Category 4**

- Class 2 road train to 27.5m and 87.5 t

### **RAV Category 5**

- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 84 t
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 84 t
- Class 2 road train comprised of a B-double and converter dolly to 36.5m and 67.5 t
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 84 t (B-Triple)
- Class 3 road train to 36.5m and 84 t
- Class 3 road train to 36.5m and 84 t

### **RAV Category 6**

- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 87.5 t (Double Road Train)
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 87.5 t (B-Triple)
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 87.5 t (Double Road Train and Dolly)

### **RAV Category 7**

- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 107.5 t (Truck towing two dog trailers)
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 107.5 t (Prime Mover towing Semi trailer and B-double)
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 107.5 t (B-Double towing a dog trailer)

### **RAV Category 8**

- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 100.5 t
- Class 2 road train to 36.5m and 107.5 t

### **RAV Category 9**

- Class 2 road train to 53.5 m and 120.5 t
- Class 3 road train to 53.5 m and 120.5 t

### **RAV Category 10**

- Class 2 road train to 53.5 m and 127.5 t (Prime Mover. Semi trailer and two Dog Trailers)
- Class 2 road train to 53.5 m and 127.5 t (Double B-double)
- Class 2 road train to 53.5 m and 147.5 t (B-double towing two Dog Trailers)
- Class 2 road train to 53.5 m and 147.5 t (Double road train towing B-double trailers)
- Class 2 road train to 53.5 m and 87.5 t (Double Road Train and Dolly)
- Class 3 road train to 53.5 m and 84 t (Double Road Train and Dolly)

### **General Access Vehicles**

General access vehicles (Austroads classes 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9) are all vehicles with up to 6 axles, as well as those with 3 axle groups (see what is an axle group?) and 7 or more axles. They may operate state-wide provided they are:

- not a road train or b-double;
- within regulation axle mass limits;
- 19 metres or less in length (or a maximum 12.5 metres for rigid vehicles);
- total mass less than 42.5 tonnes;
- width less than 2.5 metres (excluding mirrors and lights); and
- height less than 4.3 metres.

### **Class 1 RAVs**

Class 1 Restricted Access Vehicles (RAVs) are:

- special purpose vehicles (i.e. cranes);
- agricultural machines or implements;
- those designed to carry large indivisible items;
- that are not:
- road trains or b-doubles;
- which together with their loads exceed a regulation mass or dimension limit.

### **Class 2 RAVs (Long Vehicle)**

Class 2 Restricted Access Vehicles (RAVs) are:

- B-doubles (that meet VSRs)
- Road trains (including most of their variants that meet VSRs)
- Vehicle transporters not more than 4.6 metres in height
- Livestock carrying vehicles between 4.3 and 4.6 metres in height
- In Austroads terms, Class 2 RAVs are some class 9 vehicles, and all class 10, 11 and 12 vehicles that meet VSRs.

### **Class 3 RAVs (Long Vehicle)**

Class 3 RAVs are all of those RAVs that are not Class 1 or Class 2 and include:

- Class 2 RAVs that are oversize or over-mass;
- Class 2 RAVs that are concessionally loaded; and
- Class 2 RAVs that do not meet VSRs in terms of axle spacing.
- All vehicles with tandem-tandem and tandem-triple axle group spacings which meet the minimum axle spacing requirements of table B, but not table A are class 3 RAVs.

In the Shire of Tammin roads have been classified as:

### **Network 3 (Long Vehicle)**

- Tammin Wyalkatchem Rd
- Yorkrakine Rd
- Turon Rd (between Yorkrakine Rd and Bungulla North Rd)
- Goldfields Rd
- Nelson Rd
- Tammin South Rd
- Ralston Rd
- Quartermaine Rd
- Underwood Rd
- Station Rd
- McLaren St
- Hunt Rd

### **Network 5 (Roadtrain)**

- Bungulla North Rd

### **Network 2**

- Most other roads (with some being listed as low volume roads).

It is interesting to note that Goldfields Rd west of the Shire of Tammin is Network 5.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the information be received.

Simple Majority Required

**MIN 53/09 MOTION – Moved Cr R Stokes 2nd Cr Caffell**

*That public comment be sought on the need for change to remove inconsistencies of the road train route in the Shire on Bungulla North Rd, and the road train routes outside the Shire on Goldfields Rd and Wyola North Rd.*

CARRIED 6/0

**REASON**

Council wished to address the inconsistencies on routes at the Shire boundary.

**11.11 Next Event Signage**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 7 April 2009 Interest – Nil

**BACKGROUND**

Arising at the Events Committee was a suggestion that a double sided Next Event signage be erected on Donnan Street across from the Shire Office to promote coming events in Tammin.

**COMMENT**

Many towns have such signage – which is a useful method of advertising events.

Provided the location of the signage does not obstruct traffic or pedestrians and that processes are put in place regarding what is to be advertised, how the signage is to be updated and that the signage remains professional, it is unlikely that Main Roads WA would object.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

**FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That provision be included in the draft 2009/10 Budget for *Next Event* signage.

Simple Majority Required

**MIN 54/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Jefferies 2nd Cr Wheeldon**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

## **11.12 Department Of Local Government – Structural Reform (PROP-00)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 7 April 2009 Interest – Nil

### **BACKGROUND**

The Minister for Local Government has announced funding for financial assistance to local governments to acquire resources to assist in preparing a Reform Submission in response to the Government's reform strategies.

Every local government will be eligible for funding up to \$10,000 with groups able to submit applications of up to \$10,000 for each local government in the group.

### **COMMENT**

The Reform Submission process requires a number of activities for which the funding could be used – including the preparation of the check list for which Dominic Carbone has been commissioned and the actual Submission.

SEA-VROC has proposed that the funding be used to obtain legal advice on the structure and processes necessary to formalise a South Australian subsidiary model type ROC.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the Shire of Tammin seek funding assistance from the State Government's Local Government Reform program for the purpose of \_\_\_\_\_.

Simple Majority Required

### **MIN 55/09 MOTION – Moved Cr B Stokes, seconded Cr Jefferies**

*That the Shire of Tammin seek funding assistance from the State Government's Local Government Reform program for the purpose of SEA-VROC's proposal to obtain legal advice on the structure and processes necessary to formalise a South Australian subsidiary model type ROC.*

CARRIED 5/1

## **11.13 Tammin Golf Club – Fence (ASS-1164)**

Author – MG Oliver, CEO, 8 April 2009 Interest – Mr Oliver declares an interest as a member of the Club's Management Committee.

### **BACKGROUND**

Seeking approval to install a fence with lockable gates the length of the front section of the Golf Club along Yorkrakine Rd to assist in preventing unauthorised access to the fairways and greens, particularly during the off season.

**COMMENT**

The Tammin Golf Club lease Reserve 21156 (expiring in 2015) from the Shire of Tammin on a peppercorn basis.

The proposed fence would not have any detrimental effect on the land.

Support should be given to the Club in their endeavours to control access. To this extent, the Shire of Tammin's plant may be able to assist.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

**FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the Tammin Golf Club be advised that the Shire of Tammin has no objection to the installation of fence on the Yorkrakine Road frontage of Reserve 21156 and the Shire of Tammin's plant operated by weekend volunteer Shire Staff be made available to the Club to assist the project.

Simple Majority Required

**MIN 56/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Caffell 2nd Cr Jefferies**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

**11.14 Financial Report (FIN-05)**

Author – JS Oliver, Senior Finance Officer, 8 April 2009 Interest – Nil

**BACKGROUND**

The Financial Report for 2008/09 to 31 March 2009 is attached.

**COMMENT**

The Financial Report has been prepared in the format requested by Council.

The effect of the Council's Policy decision on reporting variances is shown in the far right column – being the calculated variance between the Actual Column and the YTD Budget column figures where the variance is greater than 10% and \$5,000.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

No significant implications.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Council resolved (Item 11.8 – 23 August 2007) that in accordance with section 34(5) of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 a variance percentage of 10% and \$5,000 be adopted for reporting material variances.

## **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Regulation 34 of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations* requires a Statement of Financial Activity to be prepared each month which is to contain the following details:

- (a) annual budget estimates;
- (b) budget estimates to the end of the month;
- (c) actual amount of expenditure and revenue;
- (d) material variances between comparable amounts in (b) and (c) above; and
- (e) the net current assets at the end of the month to which the statement relates (i.e. surplus / (deficit) position).

The Statement is to be accompanied by:

- (a) explanation of the composition of net current assets, less committed assets and restricted assets;
- (b) explanation of the material variances; and
- (c) such other information considered relevant by the local government.

## **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the Financial Report for March 2009 be received.

Simple Majority Required

## **MIN 57/09 MOTION – Moved Cr Caffell 2nd Cr B Stokes**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

### **11.15 List Of Payments (FIN-05)**

Author – C Wilks, Administration Officer, 8 April 2009 Interest – Nil

#### **BACKGROUND**

Accounts paid and for payment for March 2009 are listed totalling:

Municipal Fund	Voucher numbers 2529 – 2606	\$229,040.71
----------------	-----------------------------	--------------

#### **COMMENT**

No abnormal expenditure has occurred.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Regulation 13 of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations* provides that:

- (1) If the local government has delegated to the CEO the exercise of its power to make payments from the municipal fund or the trust fund, a list of accounts paid by the CEO is to be prepared each month showing for each account paid since the last such list was prepared —
  - (a) the payee's name;
  - (b) the amount of the payment;
  - (c) the date of the payment; and
  - (d) sufficient information to identify the transaction.

- (2) A list of accounts for approval to be paid is to be prepared each month showing —
  - (a) for each account which requires council authorisation in that month —
    - (i) the payee's name;
    - (ii) the amount of the payment; and
    - (iii) sufficient information to identify the transaction;
  - and
  - (b) the date of the meeting of the Council to which the list is to be presented.
- (3) A list prepared under subregulation (1) or (2) is to be —
  - (a) presented to the Council at the next ordinary meeting of the council after the list is prepared; and
  - (b) recorded in the minutes of that meeting.

#### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That the list of accounts for March 2009 be endorsed.

Simple Majority Required

#### **MIN 58/09 MOTION – Moved Cr B Stokes 2nd Cr Greenwood**

*That the Staff Recommendation be adopted.*

CARRIED 6/0

## **12. ELECTED MEMBERS MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

### **12.1 Structural Reform (PROP-00)**

Cr Wheeldon has given notice that she intends to move:

That Council draw up a list of what the Shire of Tammin wants if amalgamation is to take place – which will assist the Project Team when talking to other Councils.

Cr Wheeldon has further noted the following issues:

- Support for Tamma Village
- Roadworks
- Outside Staff
- Shire Office
- Dam and oval
- Gardens

#### **COMMENT**

Council may also wish to consider:

- Community contributions (e.g. Tammin Bowling Club)
- Kadjininy Kep

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

#### **STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT**

Nil

#### **FUTURE PLAN IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

**COMMUNITY CONSULTATION**

Nil

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

That Council provide direction to the Project Team in relation to non-negotiables in any amalgamation discussions.

Simple Majority Required

Cr Wheeldon withdrew her motion.

**13. NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF MEETING**

Nil

**14. CLOSURE OF MEETING**

There being no further business the Chairman closed the meeting at 5.34 pm.

Tabled before the Ordinary Council meeting on 21 May 2009.

Cr R.J. Stokes, Chairman